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Efficacy of flaxseed protein-based edible coatings on the quality of whole guava (Psidium guajava) during storage
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of flaxseed protein isolate coating solutions (FPC1 & FPC2) comprising of flaxseed protein (3% w v⁻¹ and 5% w v⁻¹) enriched with 20% glycerol (on a protein isolate basis), tween-40 (20% w v⁻¹) and 20% guar gum on the quality attributes of guava fruit stored under the controlled conditions of 65% RH and 20°C temperature. The use of edible coatings notably delayed the spoilage of guava, which was examined by studying the quality parameters associated with the ripening of whole guavas. There was retardation of oxidative browning, polyphenol contents and reduction in ascorbic acid content as compared to control samples. Moreover, coating treatments predominately decrease the total plate counts, reflected in the Colony forming unit (CFU g⁻¹) and significantly (p < 0.05) decrease the water loss. The non-coated samples of guavas showed a consistent reduction in lightness (L*) values when confronted with coated fruits. Sensory scores for taste, colour, texture, flavour and overall acceptability were higher for all the coated samples than control (non-coated) samples of guavas. Thus, the flaxseed protein isolate-based coatings have shown the potential in protecting the quality attributes of guavas and enhance the shelf life up to 16 days.
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Introduction

Guava (Psidium guajava) is a climacteric fruit, which usually grows in tropical and subtropical countries of the world. It is a rich source of antioxidants, phenolic compounds, calcium and Vitamin C. It carries anti-inflammatory, antibacterial and free radical scavenging properties (McCook-Russell et al. 2012). In the case of climacteric fruits, respiration continues after the harvesting period. Ethylene production leads to the synthesis of volatile compounds, softening of tissue and chilling injury in fruits (Pech et al. 2008). Generally, low temperature and modified atmosphere techniques are used to control the ripening process.

Advanced technologies such as minimal processing technology aid in retaining the shelf life of food products. It can apply at multiple stages of food distribution channels such as storage, processing and packaging. Due to this, consumers can own food with a longer shelf life. Edible coating and edible films are thin layers, which can be applied to food products for the betterment and protection of food products. Films and coatings are usually composed of biodegradable sources such as proteins, carbohydrates, lipids and composite food materials. Anti-browning agents, plasticizers, herbal components, and essential oils can also be combined along with these biopolymers (Hassan et al. 2018). Edible coatings are effective in maintaining the sensory, microbiological and nutritional quality of food. Edible films and edible coatings act as transport substance in the delivery of bioactive materials (Falguera et al. 2011). Edible coatings act as a barrier to gases and moisture. They also reduce ethylene production and enzymatic browning in fruits (Baldwin et al. 1996).

Flaxseed (Linum usitatissimum) is a popular oilseed crop that belongs to Linaceae family chiefly famous for its fibres, proteins and polysaturated fatty acids. Moreover, flaxseed is well known for its antioxidant properties, antihypertensive activity and regulating the glycemic index response in humans. These effects last longer during the storage of products (Marpalle et al. 2014a; Marpalle et al. 2015b). Despite nutritional properties, Flaxseed has excellent physiochemical, functional and textural properties, which make flaxseed a valuable ingredient for the formation of edible films and coatings (Marpalle et al. 2014a; Kaushik et al. 2016). Very few studies have demonstrated the useful effect of flaxseed-based coatings on fruits such as pomegranate arils (Yousuf and Srivastava 2017), cantaloupe (Treviño-Garza et al. 2019) and pineapple (Treviño-Garza et al. 2017).

Flaxseed meal is a by-product recovered after oil extraction, which is mainly utilized as animal feed. Mueller et al. (2010) explained that defatted meal comprised of 1.67% fat, 43.3% protein, 6.40% ash, 48.7% carbohydrate, 90.3 g 100g⁻¹ dry matter. Flaxseed meal includes both 11S (high molecular) and 2 S (low molecular weight) fractions of protein. It carries 22.8% glutamic acid, 10.4% arginine and 8.3% aspartic acid. Cysteine is a limiting amino acid among all varieties. 11S proteins consist of five different polypeptide chains having a molecular weight of 11000, 18000, 29000, 42000 and 61000 daltons, respectively (Oomah and Mazza 1993). The edible coating formed from flaxseed protein isolate can offer a possibility to extend the shelf life of whole and cut fruit.

The present study was carried out to evaluate the effect of coatings based on flaxseed protein isolate on the shelf life and quality attributes of whole guava fruit.

Materials and Methods

Raw material and treatments

Flaxseeds (LC-2063) were purchased from Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India and fresh guavas (Psidium guajava) were procured from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab. Fresh guavas were selected with the same size, and colour from the farm of Ghabdan, Sangrur, Punjab.
Chemicals
Food grade glycerol, Tween 40 and Guar gum was used for the preparation of coating solutions and were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Private Limited, Bangalore, India). All other chemicals used for analysis were of analytical grade and purchased from Mumbai, India.

Preparation of coating solutions
Flaxseed protein isolate having 94% purity was prepared by the alkali extraction technique as per the method by Kaushik et al. (2016) with slight modifications. Flaxseed protein isolate (3% and 5% w/v) solutions were developed in distilled water by pH adjustment to 11. The coating solution made by 3% concentration was regarded as FPC1 and the coating solution with 5% concentration was considered as FPC2. The denaturation was carried out at 90°C for 10 min on a hot water bath and then cooled at 25°C. 20% glycerol (on a protein isolate basis) was added to the solution as a plasticizer agent. 20% (w v⁻¹) Tween-40 (on a total solution basis) was added as an emulsifier. 20% Guar gum (on a protein isolate basis) was added to the coating solution to provide stability and consistency. The solution was stirred on a magnetic stirrer for 30 min for uniform mixing.

Coating of fruits
Fruit samples were coated with the help of the dipping method. Fruits were divided into three groups. Group A consisted of guavas in which no coating treatment was given and was considered as the control sample. Group B in which fruits were immersed in 3% coating solution and Group C, in which fruits were immersed in 5% coating solution. The coating given on the same day was considered as day 0. Guavas were dipped in coating solution in a two-step process, each one of 2 min. The guava samples were drained in a hot air oven at 25°C for 20 min following the primary step dipping, and 25 min for the secondary step dipping. After coating, fruits were stored in trays within an environmental chamber under controlled conditions of 65% RH and 20°C temperature.

Weight loss
Weight loss was measured by determining the difference between the initial and final weight of each fruit and next dividing the difference by initial weight. Measurements were carried out subsequently on the 4th, 8th, 12th and 16th day of storage. The observed values were marked in the form of a percentage (%).

Firmness
Firmness was determined by Texture Profile Analyzer (TA-XT2i, Stable Microsystem, Godalming, England) with a Probe (P/5). Pre-test speed set to 1 mm s⁻¹ and post-test speed to 10 mm s⁻¹. Three readings were evaluated at an equilateral diameter of guavas. Values of firmness were measured in Newton (N).

Colour values
Colour values were observed in guavas with a Hunter Lab colour spectrometer (Gretag Macbeth, I-5, USA) at room temperature. Colour values were evaluated in the form of L*, a* and b*.

Total soluble solids (TSS) and Titrable acidity (TA)
TSS content of the juice was determined as °Brix with a digital refractometer calibrated with distilled water. 10 g sample of fruit pulp was diluted with 50 ml of distilled water and titrated with 0.1 N NaOH until the endpoint was reached. Acidity was expressed in % citric acid.

Total sugars and reducing sugars
Total sugar and reducing sugar content of guava pulp were measured according to the method of Lane and Eynon (Murmu and Mishra 2018). For the investigation of total and reducing sugars, mashed fruit pulp without peel employed and results were measured in percentage (%).

Ascorbic acid content
Ascorbic acid content was measured according to 2, 6 dicholorophenolindophenol methods defined by Sharma and Saini (2021). The filtrate was titrated against 2, 6 dicholorophenolindophenol dye until a rose pink colour appeared. The amount of ascorbic acid content was represented as mg 100g⁻¹ fresh juice.

Total phenolic content
Total phenolic content was evaluated by exerting 10 g of guava pulp with the dilution of 30 ml of water. 5 mg of peel was diluted in 20 ml of methanol and
endured on the hot water bath for 24 h. 1 ml of extract carried out and firmly mixed with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (thinned by ten folds) and 4 ml of Na₂CO₃ solution (7.5%). The mixture kept for 30 min in the dark, and spontaneously absorbance of the solution was observed at 765 nm with the help of a UV Spectrometer (UV-2100 UV/VIS Spectrophotometer, USA). The value of phenolic content stated in the form of mg GAE 100g⁻¹ (Kazemi et al. 2016).

**Total plate count**

Total plate count was determined by a method described by Marquez et al. (2017). 10 g of fruit pulp homogenized with 90 ml of Ringer’s solution. The dilutions were made from Ringer’s solution and poured directly on agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Colonies were counted and measured as CFU g⁻¹.

**Sensory analysis**

Sensory analysis of coated guavas was carried out on the first and last day of storage. Sixteen trained panellists between the ages of 30-50 years were chosen for sensory analysis from a group of Department of Food Engineering and Technology, SLIET, Longowal. The selected assessors had a decent knowledge about sensory evaluation and fruit acceptability trend. The sensory analysis was carried out by using a nine-point Hedonic scale (1= most disliked attribute, 9 = most liked attribute). Scores ≥ 5 were “satisfactory”. Samples were coded with three different codes and trained panellists were asked to rank scores for colour, texture, taste, flavour and overall acceptability. The overall acceptability was judged based on skin damage, shrinkage, off flavour, inappropriate ripening and over-ripening (Murmu and Mishra 2017; Brasil et al. 2012). The assessors used water to rinse in between sampling and recorded their responses on paper scorecards.

**Decay percentage**

Decay percentage of coated and non-coated whole fruit guava was determined by dividing the decayed samples with primary day samples from every group (Nawab et al. 2017).

**Statistical Analysis**

The impact of different concentrations on the whole fruit guavas was analysed by evaluating data with one way ANOVA process. The entire tests were performed in triplicates and their mean values were evaluated by using Statistical software (SPSS Statistics 23, IBM, New York, USA). Tukey's test was employed to investigate the significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) in different quality attributes of fruit.

**Results and Discussion**

**Weight loss and firmness (%)**

During ripening and storage, some fruits undergo a reduction in weight loss (Fig. 1) and firmness (Fig. 2), which ultimately decreased the shelf life of fruits.

**Figure 1.** Weight loss (%) of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days; Control - Non-coated sample; FPC1-Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2-Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); Error bars designate the standard deviation for triplicate analyses; Bars followed by different letters, on the same day of storage, designate statistical difference in treatment (p < 0.05)
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Figure 2. Firmness (Force in N) of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days; Control - Non-coated sample; FPC1= Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2= Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); Error bars designate the standard deviation for triplicate analyses; Bars followed by different letters, on the same day of storage, designate statistical difference in treatment (p < 0.05)

The result showed that the highest percentage of weight loss was observed in the controlled sample on the 16th day of storage, which was found to be 56.14%. Among the coated samples, the lowest percent weight loss was observed for the FPC2 sample (10.76%) on the 4th day of storage. This may be because of the good water barrier property of coating prepared from flaxseed proteins. Transpiration is the process occurring in plants, which is responsible for the weight loss in fruits. Layers like the epidermal cell layer and cuticle reduce the rate of transpiration. The edible coating coats the surface and acts as a barrier layer, which reduces the transpiration rate (Mannozzi et al. 2017). At the end day of storage, a maximum and minimum amount of weight loss was observed in control and FPC2 (14.94% lower than control) treated fruits, respectively. Similar results were observed in other fruits also like strawberries (Ventura-Aguilar et al. 2018) and apricot (Zhang et al. 2018).

Firmness is an important factor that determines a consumer’s acceptability. The reduction in firmness is mainly because of weight loss and moisture loss. During the ripening process, the pectin and hemicelluloses solubilize and de-polymerize, which results in loosening and disintegration of the cell wall. The edible coating helps in maintaining the firmness by reducing the rate of transpiration and respiration, slows down the ripening, delay senescence and retard cell wall degradation (Paniagua et al. 2013). As shown in Figure 2 reduction in firmness was observed for non-coated samples, which might be because of degradation of the cell wall and loss of extracellular and vascular air, whereas the coated samples retained the firmness better as compared to the non-coated samples. On the end of storage, the highest firmness was observed in FPC2 treated fruits (10.64 N), which was 9.33% firmer than control samples (1.31 N). FPC1 retained 2.02% lesser firmness as compared to FPC2 treated samples (Fig. 2). A similar trend was found in guavas coated with chitosan, which retained better firmness as compared to uncoated samples (Hong et al. 2012).

Colour

The quality of fruit is mainly affected by enzymatic browning, which occurs due to peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase (Fratianni et al. 2013). Colour Spectrophotometer determined the colour of the samples and the results are depicted in Table 1 in the form of L*, a*, b*, where L* represents the level of intensity of lightness/darkness, a* represents the difference between red/green colour and b* tells about yellow/blue colour. Peel colour is a vital aspect that evaluates the quality of fruit in the form of ripening and maturity (Nair et al. 2018). The L* values were decreased for all the samples during the storage period. The difference in lightness values obtained in the fruits treated with FPC1 and FPC2 was 14.76% and 18.74% compared to the control samples of guavas. The decreases in L* indicates the browning of skin tissue. a* values increased during storage study which represents the reduction in greenness. On the 16th day, the lowest a* index value was found in fruit treated with FPC2 (-11.05) and FPC2 (-9.32), respectively (Table 1). The reduction of b* index values was observed during the storage study of guavas. The highest value was found in the case of samples treated with FPC2 (37.33) accompanied by FPC1 (36.66) treatment, respectively. The reason for the reduction in b* index values is due to the reduction of chlorophyll and the formation of carotenoid.
pigments (Murmu and Mishra 2018; Nair et al. 2018). Therefore, due to a decline in chlorophyll pigment colour during the storage period, guavas becomes less green and more darker. This indicates that the use of FPC1 and FPC2 coatings exhibited a positive effect on the colour and reduction in the ripening of guavas. Similar results were reported by Etemadipoor et al. (2019) for guava fruits coated with gum Arabic.

**Total soluble solids (TSS)**

TSS of both the coated and uncoated samples increased with an increase in storage life. The results of TSS are presented in Figure 3.

However, the coated samples showed a lesser increase in TSS than the uncoated samples. All the three samples i.e. control sample, FPC1, and FPC2 sample had 8.90 °Brix TSS at 0 day i.e. on the day of coating, which increased to 12.3, 11.9, 11.5 respectively, on the end day. This could be due to the reason that coating reduces the production of ethylene and the rate of respiration (Etemadipoor et al. 2019) and reduces the rate of synthesis and utilization of metabolites, delaying nutrient decomposition and as a result, a lower TSS values in coated samples were there as compared to non-coated samples (Dong and Wang 2018; Naeem et al. 2018). Therefore, FPC1 and FPC2 treated samples retained the TSS values more as compared to the control sample at the end of storage study. Similar results were reported by Yan et al. (2019) for strawberries coated with chitosan.

**Figure 3.** Total soluble solids (°Brix) of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days; Control - Non-coated sample; FPC1 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); Error bars designate the standard deviation for triplicate analyses; Bars followed by different letters, on the same day of storage, designate statistical difference in treatment (p < 0.05)

**Titrable acidity (TA)**

The results of TA are presented in Figure 4. It showed that TA of all the samples decreased with an increase in storage life. The percentage decreased in TA was found to be highest in a non-coated sample.

---

Table 1 Colour values of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour Values</th>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>0 day</th>
<th>4th day</th>
<th>8th day</th>
<th>12th day</th>
<th>16th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>59.68±1.01</td>
<td>56.92±0.56</td>
<td>49.12±2.61</td>
<td>48.36±1.55</td>
<td>47.15±2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPC1</td>
<td>59.68±1.01</td>
<td>58.21±0.98</td>
<td>57.33±3.11</td>
<td>56.36±0.25</td>
<td>54.11±2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPC2</td>
<td>59.68±1.01</td>
<td>59.42±0.05</td>
<td>57.21±2.88</td>
<td>56.77±0.66</td>
<td>55.99±1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-16.10±0.96</td>
<td>-14.68±2.35</td>
<td>-13.36±2.15</td>
<td>-11.21±0.99</td>
<td>-6.22±3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPC1</td>
<td>-16.10±0.96</td>
<td>-15.25±1.25</td>
<td>-14.89±0.22</td>
<td>-13.55±1.55</td>
<td>-9.32±2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPC2</td>
<td>-16.10±0.96</td>
<td>-15.55±1.07</td>
<td>-15.11±0.56</td>
<td>-14.11±0.66</td>
<td>-11.05±2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b*</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>42.82±1.24</td>
<td>38.41±1.57</td>
<td>30.22±2.19</td>
<td>32.22±1.11</td>
<td>30.76±1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPC1</td>
<td>42.82±1.24</td>
<td>40.44±0.88</td>
<td>39.44±1.05</td>
<td>37.26±2.73</td>
<td>36.66±1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPC2</td>
<td>42.82±1.24</td>
<td>41.39±1.36</td>
<td>41.33±0.88</td>
<td>38.55±0.97</td>
<td>37.33±0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control = Non-coated sample; FPC1= Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2= Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); the data described as Mean values ± Standard deviations accompanied by various superscript letters; For individual treatment, different letters in the same column designate significant differences (p < 0.05) between the mean values as per the Tukey’s test.
The initial TA of all the samples i.e. control, FPC1 and FPC2 found to be 0.673%, which significantly (p < 0.05) reduced to 0.490%, 0.510%, 0.532% on the 16th day of storage, respectively. Titrable acidity is a vital factor that signifies the eating quality of fruit. Usually, organic acids act as primary substrates involved in the respiration process and other metabolic processes. In guavas, citric acid is a chief organic acid employed in these processes (De-Aquino et al. 2015). The coating act as a semipermeable membrane against respiration, which reduces the rate of respiration and further reduces the consumption of organic acids; therefore, the TA decreased (Riva et al. 2020; Mahfoudhi and Hamid 2014). Accordingly coated samples manifested a positive effect on controlling the respiration and maintaining the quality of guavas during the storage period. A similar trend was reported by Valero et al. (2013) in alginate coated plums.

**Total sugars and reducing sugars (%)**

Various polysaccharides present in fruits get hydrolysed and increase total sugar and reducing sugar content. The result of total sugar and reducing sugar are presented in Figure 5 and Figure 6.

All the control and coated samples showed an increase in sugar and reducing sugar content with an increase in storage time. On the 16th day, the...
increase in total sugar content in control, FPC1 and FPC2 samples was found to be 73.11%, 69.75% and 59.81%, respectively. The highest percentage increase was found in the control samples followed by FPC1 treated fruits. The per cent increase in reducing sugar for control, FPC1, FPC2 sample was found to be 60.64%, 50.11% and 42.10% on the last day of storage, respectively. The coating acts as a barrier to the biosynthesis of guava, which results in the slow conversion of polysaccharides into sugars. Murmu and Mishra (2017) observed the same trend in guavas coated by Arabic gum, sodium caseinate and essential oils of cinnamon and lemongrass.

**Ascorbic acid**

Ascorbic acid present in fruits gets oxidized by the enzyme ascorbic acid oxidase, which results in the reduction of ascorbic acid content (Sarpong et al. 2018). The result of the change in ascorbic acid content with storage are presented in Figure 7 which showed that the ascorbic acid content of all samples significantly decreased (p < 0.05) with an increased in storage life.

On the last day of storage, the percentage decrease in ascorbic acid content in control, FPC1, FPC2 samples were found to be 44%, 38%, and 33% respectively. The coated samples showed a significantly (p <0.05) less reduction in ascorbic acid content as compared to the control sample. FPC2 sample showed the lowest percentage decrease. Autoxidation is a process occurring in fruits in which ascorbic acid combine with oxygen during respiration, which might be responsible for the decrease in ascorbic acid content. The edible coating acts as a covering layer and prevents the autoxidation process and because of this a less decrease in percentage ascorbic acid content was observed (Sharma and Rao 2015). Similar results were obtained by Loay and Taher (2018) in guavas coated by chitosan, polyvinyl pyrrolidine and salicylic acid.

**Total phenolic content**

Phenolic compounds are the secondary metabolites produced by plants to protect against oxidative attacks. Total phenolic content (TPC) depend on the species, cultivar, temperature and various environmental conditions. As shown in Figure 8, TPC in all the samples decreased with an increase in storage life.

![Figure 7. Ascorbic acid (mg 100g⁻¹) of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days; Control - Non-coated sample; FPC1 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); Error bars designate the standard deviation for triplicate analyses; Bars followed by different letters, on the same day of storage, designate statistical difference in treatment (p < 0.05)](image)

![Figure 8. Total phenolic content (mg 100 g⁻¹) of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days; Control - Non-coated sample; FPC1 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); Error bars designate the standard deviation for triplicate analyses; Bars followed by different letters, on the same day of storage, designate statistical difference in treatment (p < 0.05)](image)
On the last day of storage, the per cent decrease in control, FPC1 and FPC2 samples were found to be 71.42%, 59.15% and 53.46% compared to the initial day of storage, respectively. The highest TPC values were observed in fruit treated with FPC2 and FPC1 with 38.59% and 30.03% difference compared to control samples, respectively. The edible coating produces some stress on fruits, which affect the metabolism and production of total phenolic compounds (Tahir et al. 2019). This might be the reason for less decrease of TPC in coated samples. Feng et al. (2018) observed a similar trend in apples coated by whey protein isolate nanofibrils. On the 16th day, the total plate count was found to be $1.8 \times 10^6$ CFU g$^{-1}$ in control samples accompanied by FPC1 and FPC2 treated samples respectively, which denotes the higher ripening rate in the non-coated sample. Fruits treated with FPC2 coating were considered more influential as compared to FPC1 treated samples. Over ripening leads to the spoilage of fruit samples. Bierhals et al. (2011) reported that microbial count below $1 \times 10^6$ CFU g$^{-1}$ is considered to be acceptable for all fruit-based products. De-Aquino et al. (2015) reported a positive effect of chitosan-cassava starch-based coating in maintaining the quality of guavas.

### Total plate count

All the coated samples exhibited less total plate count as compared to non-coated samples (Table 2). On the 8th day of storage, non-coated fruit samples showed a significantly higher (p < 0.05) microbial growth of $7.7 \times 10^3$ CFU g$^{-1}$. On the 16th day, the total plate count was found to be $3.1 \times 10^2$ CFU g$^{-1}$ in control samples accompanied by FPC1 and FPC2 treated samples respectively, which denotes the higher ripening rate in the non-coated sample. Over ripening leads to the spoilage of fruit samples. Bierhals et al. (2011) reported that microbial count below $1 \times 10^6$ CFU g$^{-1}$ is considered to be acceptable for all fruit-based products. De-Aquino et al. (2015) reported a positive effect of chitosan-cassava starch-based coating in maintaining the quality of guavas.

### Sensory analysis

The sensory scoring of whole guava fruit was determined on the 0 and 16th day of storage (Table 3). The sensory attributes of coated and non-coated guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days are shown in Table 3.

### Table 2. Total plate count (CFU/g) of guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>0 day</th>
<th>8th day</th>
<th>16th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>&lt;10$^a$</td>
<td>7.7 $\times 10^3$$^a$</td>
<td>1.8 $\times 10^6$$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC1</td>
<td>&lt;10$^a$</td>
<td>2.27 $\times 10^3$$^b$</td>
<td>8.1 $\times 10^3$$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC2</td>
<td>&lt;10$^a$</td>
<td>&lt;10$^a$</td>
<td>3.1 $\times 10^2$$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control = Non-coated sample; FPC1 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); For individual treatment, different letters in the same column designate significant differences (p < 0.05) between the mean values as per the Tukey’s test.

### Table 3. Sensory evaluation scores of coated and non-coated guavas stored at 20°C for 16 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensory attributes</th>
<th>Control 0 day</th>
<th>Control 16th day</th>
<th>FPC1 0 day</th>
<th>FPC1 16th day</th>
<th>FPC2 0 day</th>
<th>FPC2 16th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>9.0$^a$$\pm$0.05</td>
<td>4.6$^b$$\pm$1.14</td>
<td>8.9$^b$$\pm$0.11</td>
<td>7.2$^b$$\pm$0.43</td>
<td>8.9$^b$$\pm$0.22</td>
<td>8.4$^a$$\pm$0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>8.5$^a$$\pm$0.11</td>
<td>4.6$^b$$\pm$0.54</td>
<td>8.5$^a$$\pm$0.24</td>
<td>7.0$^b$$\pm$0.58</td>
<td>8.4$^b$$\pm$0.15</td>
<td>7.6$^a$$\pm$0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>8.7$^b$$\pm$0.15</td>
<td>3.6$^b$$\pm$0.84</td>
<td>8.7$^b$$\pm$0.21</td>
<td>7.8$^b$$\pm$0.51</td>
<td>8.8$^b$$\pm$0.12</td>
<td>8.6$^a$$\pm$0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>8.8$^a$$\pm$0.21</td>
<td>6.6$^b$$\pm$0.89</td>
<td>8.8$^a$$\pm$0.20</td>
<td>8.0$^b$$\pm$0.62</td>
<td>8.6$^b$$\pm$0.24</td>
<td>7.0$^a$$\pm$0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>8.5$^b$$\pm$0.31</td>
<td>5.0$^b$$\pm$0.70</td>
<td>8.6$^b$$\pm$0.30</td>
<td>7.2$^b$$\pm$0.51</td>
<td>8.6$^b$$\pm$0.12</td>
<td>8.2$^b$$\pm$0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control = Non-coated sample; FPC1 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (3%); FPC2 - Flaxseed protein isolate coating (5%); OA - Overall acceptability; the data described as Mean values ± Standard deviation accompanied by various letters for individual treatment, Different letters in the same column and on alternate days designate significant differences (p < 0.05) between the mean values as per the Tukey’s test.
All the coated samples were acceptable at the end of the storage period. All the coated samples exhibited a higher score of colour, texture, taste, flavour and overall acceptability scores than non-coated samples. On the last day of storage, the lower sensory scores were observed in control samples due to consequent changes in colour, taste and flavour. Also, the coating solutions comprising of protein isolate showed no adverse effect on colour, texture, taste, and flavour scores. The results are in agreement with Saberi et al. (2018) for Valencia oranges coated with pea starch and guar gum.

Decay percentage

Protein coatings successfully delayed the senescence and ripening of whole guava fruits till the 16th day of storage. Non coated guavas were completely decayed on the 10th day of storage. Early ripening in non-coated samples leads to cell tissue rupture, which makes fruits more prone to fungal growth (Moreira et al. 2020; Nawab et al. 2017) reported a similar trend for starch coated tomato fruit.

Conclusions

Coatings made from flaxseed protein isolate could be used to prevent the post-harvest losses and climacteric ripening of guava fruits. All coated samples exhibited a lesser increase in total sugars and reducing sugars than non-coated samples. All coated samples recorded lesser weight loss, lower increase in TSS and a more moderate decrease in acidity after 16 days of storage. Sensory scores for odour, colour and texture were leading for all coated samples than non-coated samples. The browning in guava fruit is associated with enzymatic activities. Coatings obtained from flaxseed were beneficial in controlling the browning activities during the storage study. Edible coatings limit the cell wall degradation enzymatic activities and protect ascorbic acid contents from oxidation. All coated samples recorded effective retention in firmness than non-coated samples as well as coated samples exhibit antimicrobial properties. Coated samples manifested less plate count as compared to non-coated samples. It is concluded that edible coatings obtained from flaxseed can be considered as a powerful tool in maintaining the quality attributes of guavas after the harvesting. However, more studies need to be conducted to explore the potential of edible coatings obtained from flaxseed protein isolate.
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